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Abstract

We adapt the radix-\(r\) digit-recurrence division algorithm to complex division. By prescaling the operands, we make the selection of quotient digits simple. This leads to a simple hardware implementation, and allows correct rounding of complex quotient. To reduce large prescaling tables required for radices greater than 4, we adapt the bipartite-table method to multiple-operand functions.

1. Introduction

1.1. Complex division

Complex division is used in many applications such as astronomy [4] and non-linear RF measurement [19].

A straightforward way to implement complex division is to use the conventional formula

\[
\frac{a + ib}{c + id} = \frac{ac + bd + i(bc - ad)}{c^2 + d^2}.
\]

Using this formula, however, may lead to overflows during the intermediate computations: \(c^2 + d^2\) may overflow, even if the final result is representable in the floating-point format being considered. This severe drawback has been discussed by many authors (e.g., [1, 11]). R.L. Smith [14] suggested another, much more robust, algorithm. It uses the relations:

\[
\frac{a + ib}{c + id} = \begin{cases} \frac{a + b(d/c)}{c + d(d/c)} + i\frac{b - a(d/c)}{c + d(d/c)} & (\text{if } |c| \geq |d|) \\ \frac{b + a(c/d)}{d + c(c/d)} + i\frac{a - b(c/d)}{d + c(c/d)} & (\text{if } |c| \leq |d|) \end{cases}
\]
Stewart [16] analyzes Smith’s algorithm and suggests an even more robust, and even more complicated, algorithm. It is worth noticing that none of these algorithms guarantees correct rounding of the real and imaginary parts of the obtained quotient. Moreover, these algorithms would require very costly hardware implementation.

Hardware implementation of complex division has been recently considered in [12]. A radix-2 on-line complex division algorithm is used for implementing (1) on a reconfigurable hardware.

The aim of this paper is to adapt to complex division a high-radix (real) digit-recurrence division algorithm with prescaling [9, 10]. For computing \( x/y \), this division algorithm uses the recurrence

\[
 w[j + 1] = rw[j] - q_j y
\]

where \( w[0] = x \), and the quotient digits \( q_j \)'s are chosen in a radix-\( r \) redundant digit-set, so that the \( w[j] \)'s remain bounded. One of the main difficulties is to find a practical quotient-digit function for higher radices. Several solutions have been suggested. Among them, the prescaling technique consists in multiplying \( x \) and \( y \) by a constant \( K \) chosen so that \( Ky \) becomes close to 1, and then using the residual recurrence to compute \((Kx)/(Ky)\). By doing that, one can choose \( q_{j+1} \) by rounding \( w[j] \) (or an approximation to \( w[j] \) with a few digits only) to the nearest integer, provided that some conditions are satisfied. The idea of scaling operands to make the quotient-digit selection independent of the divisor was proposed in [17] for a decimal computer. This scheme was extended in [18] to an arbitrary radix with signed-digit adder and applied in signed-digit division algorithm. Svoboda’s scaling is one-sided, i.e., the (positive) divisor is transformed into the range \( 1 + \epsilon \). A two-sided prescaling approach is discussed in [6, 7]. The prescaling technique and the radix-4 division are considered in [8, 2, 15].

1.2. Notation

Throughout the paper, \( i \) is \( \sqrt{-1} \), and if \( z \) is a complex number, then \( \Re(z) \) and \( \Im(z) \) denote the real and imaginary parts of \( z \). The norm \( ||z||_\infty \) denotes \( \max\{||\Re(z)||,||\Im(z)||\} \), whereas \( |z| \) denotes the usual complex absolute value

\[
|z| = \sqrt{(|\Re(z)|^2 + |\Im(z)|^2)}.
\]

2. Complex division algorithm

2.1. General scheme

Assume we wish to compute \( q = z/d \), where \( q \), \( z \) and \( d \) are complex numbers. We will perform the division as follows:

**Prescaling** Obtain (through table-lookup and, possibly, some interpolation) a complex scaling factor \( K \) such that

\[
||Kd - 1||_\infty < 2^{-p}
\]
where the integer $p$ is a parameter of the algorithm. Then, compute

$$\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
w[0] &= Kz \\
y &= Kd
\end{cases}
\end{align*}$$

The major benefit of prescaling the divisor and dividend is that it allows separate selection of the real and imaginary parts of the complex quotient digits. These two selections can be performed in parallel.

**Iterations** Perform radix-$r$ iterations

$$w[j+1] = rw[j] - q_{j+1}y$$

where $r$ (in most cases a power of 2) is the radix of the division, and $q_{j+1} = q^R_{j+1} + iq^I_{j+1}$, where $q^R_{j+1}$ and $q^I_{j+1}$ belong to the digit set $S$ of a redundant radix-$r$ representation (a typical example is the maximally redundant set $S = \{-r+1, -r+2, \ldots, r-2, r-1\}$).

A straightforward induction shows that

$$\frac{w[j]}{y} = r^j \left[ \frac{w[0]}{y} - (q_1 r^{-1} + q_2 r^{-2} + \cdots + q_j r^{-j}) \right].$$

Hence, any choice of the $q_j$’s for which the $||w[j]||_\infty$’s remain bounded will suffice to give

$$0. q_1^R q_2^R q_3^R \cdots q_n^R + i0. q_1^I q_2^I q_3^I \cdots q_n^I \rightarrow z/d$$

2.2. Selection of the quotient digits

As for conventional higher radix division, the key point is to be able to easily select quotient digits. The benefit of division with prescaling is that, since the prescaled divisor becomes close to 1, the $j + 1$st quotient digit can be obtained by rounding the residual to its integer part. Moreover, a short-precision estimate of the residual suffices for this rounding so that residuals can be obtained in redundant form (e.g., carry-save or signed-digit). Therefore, the selection is performed by rounding to the nearest integer the real and imaginary parts of $\hat{w}[j]$, where $\hat{w}[j]$ is obtained by truncating $w[j]$ after some fractional position. More precisely, we choose

$$\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
q^R_{j+1} &= s(\Re(rw[j])) \\
q^I_{j+1} &= s(\Im(rw[j]))
\end{cases}
\end{align*}$$

where the selection function $s$ satisfies

$$|s(x) - x| < \frac{1}{2} + 2^{-\sigma}. \quad (6)$$

For instance, one can meet such a requirement by truncating a carry-save representation of $x$ after the $\sigma + 1$st fractional position, and rounding the obtained result to the nearest integer. If $x$ is represented in borrow-save, it suffices to truncate it after the $\sigma$-th fractional position before rounding it to the nearest.

We assume that the quotient digits, for the real part as well as for the imaginary part, will be chosen from the digit-set

$$S = \{-a, -a + 1, \ldots, 0, \ldots, +a\}$$
where $2a + 1 > r$ and, in most cases, $a \leq r - 1$ (and yet, we will later see that the “over-redundant” choice $a = r$ may sometimes be of interest). To make sure that the selection function (6) always returns elements of $S$, we must have

\[
||w[j]||_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{p} \left( a + \frac{1}{2} - 2^{-\sigma} \right)
\]  

(7)

Let us call $\Omega = 1/r \times (a + \frac{1}{2} - 2^{-\sigma})$ this last bound. We now find conditions on the various parameters (i.e., $a$, $p$, $r$ and $\sigma$) for the algorithm to converge.

We assume that $||w[0]||_{\infty} < \Omega$ (if needed, this can be achieved by a shift of the dividend and extra iterations), and we show that $w[j]$’s, for all $j$, have a norm less than $\Omega$. As for conventional SRT division, this is shown by induction, assuming $||w[j]||_{\infty} < \Omega$, and trying to get $||w[j+1]||_{\infty} < \Omega$.

Define $\epsilon_j = y - 1$. From (4) and (6), we get

\[
w[j+1] = r w[j] - q_{j+1} y
\]

\[
= r w[j] - (q_{j+1}^R + i q_{j+1}^I) (1 + \epsilon_j)
\]

\[
= r w[j] - \{ \Re (r w[j]) + [s(\Re (r w[j])) - \Re (r w[j])]} \} (1 + \epsilon_j)
\]

\[
- i \{ \Im (r w[j]) + [s(\Im (r w[j])) - \Im (r w[j])]} \} (1 + \epsilon_j)
\]

\[
= - \epsilon_j s(\Re (r w[j])) - \Im (r w[j])
\]

\[
- i \epsilon_j s(\Im (r w[j])) - \Re (r w[j])
\]

\[
= - \epsilon_j q_{j+1}^R - \Im (r w[j])
\]

\[
- i \epsilon_j q_{j+1}^I - \Re (r w[j])
\]

Therefore,

\[
w[j+1] = \epsilon_j q_{j+1} + A + i B
\]  

(8)

where $A$ and $B$ are real numbers of absolute value less than $\frac{1}{2} + 2^{-\sigma}$, $||q_{j+1}||_{\infty} \leq a$ and $||\epsilon_j||_{\infty} < 2^{-p}$. From that, we immediately deduce

\[
||w[j+1]||_{\infty} < 2^{-p+1} a + \frac{1}{2} + 2^{-\sigma}.
\]

This gives the condition we were looking for

\[
2^{-p+1} a + \frac{1}{2} + 2^{-\sigma} \leq \frac{1}{p} \left( a + \frac{1}{2} - 2^{-\sigma} \right). 
\]  

(9)

Typical examples of parameters that meet these constraints are given in Table 1.

2.3. The complex residual recurrences

The new recurrences for computing complex residuals are given below. Note that the real and imaginary parts of $w[j+1]$ can be computed in parallel. This fact and the simplicity of the selection function (that only require to separately round the real and imaginary parts of $w[j+1]$) make the iterations very simple.

\[
\begin{align*}
R(w[j+1]) &= r \Re (w[j]) - q_{j+1}^R \Re (y) + q_{j+1}^I \Im (y) \\
\Im (w[j+1]) &= r \Im (w[j]) - q_{j+1}^I \Re (y) - q_{j+1}^R \Im (y)
\end{align*}
\]  

(10)
Table 1. Values of the parameters $p$, $\sigma$ and $\Omega$ of the algorithm, depending on $r$ and $a$. It is worth being noticed that $p$ decreases when $a$ increases, which is important, since the prescaling step (if implemented just by one table-lookup) requires to access a table with $2p + 1$ address bits. Even over-redundant digit-sets (i.e., for which $a \geq r$) may therefore prove useful.

3. Remarks on prescaling

Our method requires that, from a given divisor $d$, we obtain a scaling factor $K$ such that $||Kd - 1||_\infty < 2^{-p}$ by a table-lookup. Let us examine three approaches to obtaining the complex scaling factor $K$.

3.1. First approach: direct table-lookup

We assume that

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq ||d||_\infty < 1.$$  

This is obtained by a mere shift of the divisor $d$. Now, if we write $d = a + ib$, $a$ and $b$ can be represented as binary fixed-point numbers

$$\begin{cases} 
 a &= 0.a_1a_2a_3a_4\cdots \\
 b &= 0.b_1b_2b_3b_4\cdots
\end{cases}$$

where $a_1 = 1$ or $b_1 = 1$. Define $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$ as $a$ and $b$ rounded to the nearest $q$-fractional-bit number. Our first solution consists in looking-up

$$K = \frac{1}{\hat{a} + i\hat{b}}$$
in a table with $2q - 1$ address bits\(^1\). Now, by denoting $\hat{d} = \hat{a} + i\hat{b}$, we easily find
\[
\left\| \frac{\hat{d}}{d} - 1 \right\|_\infty \leq 2\|d - \hat{d}\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{\|d\|_\infty} \leq 4\|d - \hat{d}\|_\infty \leq 2^{-q + 1}
\]
Therefore, to assure that $\|y - 1\|_\infty$ will be less than 1, it suffices to choose $q = p + 1$. Hence the lookup table will have $2p + 1$ address bits.

3.2. Second approach: prescaling using a multiple variable bipartite method

The previous prescaling method works for radix-2 division (for $a = 1$, the constraint $p = 4$ – hence, $q = 5$ – requires the use of a table with 9 address bits, which is feasible with current technology. If we use an over-redundant number system, with $a = 2$, the table will have 7 address bits, which is small). Radix 4 can be used as well (with the maximally redundant digit-set – i.e., $a=3$, the table will have 11 address bits, and if we use the over-redundant digit set with $a = 4$, the table we have 9 address bits). Radix-8 might be feasible (13 address bits with the maximally redundant digit-set, 11 with an over-redundant digit-set). For higher radices, the reciprocal table grows rapidly and becomes quickly impractical.

A possible solution to this problem is to generalize to functions of two variables the bipartite table method of [3, 13]. This is done as follows. Since
\[
\frac{1}{a + ib} = \frac{\hat{a} - i\hat{b}}{\hat{a}^2 + \hat{b}^2}
\]
we easily deduce that we have to quickly get good approximations to the function
\[
\phi(x, y) = \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}
\]
where $x$ and $y$ are $q$-bit numbers. To simplify, assume $q$ is some multiple of 3, and define $k = q/3$. Define two 2$k$-bit numbers $x_H$ and $y_H$, two $k$-bit numbers $x_\ell$ and $y_\ell$, and two $k + [k/2]$-bit numbers $x_h$ and $y_h$, all with absolute value less than 1 such that:

- $x_H$ is $x$ rounded to the nearest multiple of $2^{-2k}$;
- $y_H$ is $y$ rounded to the nearest multiple of $2^{-2k}$;
- $x = x_H + x_\ell 2^{-2k}$;
- $y = y_H + y_\ell 2^{-2k}$;
- $x_h$ is $x$ rounded to the nearest multiple of $2^{-k-[k/2]}$;
- $y_h$ is $y$ rounded to the nearest multiple of $2^{-k-[k/2]}$;

If we define functions:
\[
\begin{align*}
\beta(x_h, y_h, x_\ell) &= x_\ell 2^{-2k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \phi(x_h, y_h) \\
\gamma(x_h, y_h, x_\ell) &= y_\ell 2^{-2k} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \phi(x_h, y_h)
\end{align*}
\]
then an elementary manipulation of Taylor series shows that $\phi(x, y)$ can be approximated by
\[
\phi(x_H, y_H) + \beta(x_h, y_h, x_\ell) + \gamma(x_h, y_h, x_\ell)
\]
\(^{1}\)A straightforward implementation would require $2q$ address bits, but one can notice that one can assume $a_1 = 1$: if $a_1 = 0$, then we know that $b_1 = 1$, and we can easily interchange $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$.
with error $\approx 2^{-3k}$. This shows that instead of using one table with $2q - 1$ address bits (with the previous method), we can use three tables (one for $\phi$, one for $\beta$ and another one for $\gamma$), each of them with $4q/3$ address bits.

The functions that need be stored are

\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi(x_H, y_H) &= \frac{x_H}{x_H^2 + y_H^2} \\
\beta(x_h, y_h, x_l) &= x_l 2^{-2k} \left( (x_h^2 + y_h^2)^{-1} - 2 \frac{x_h^2}{(x_h^2 + y_h^2)^2} \right) \\
\gamma(x_h, y_h, x_l) &= -2 y_l 2^{-2k} x_h y_h \left( x_h^2 + y_h^2 \right)^2
\end{align*}
\]

Improvements to the bipartite method such as the one suggested in [5] might be adapted to functions of 2 variables, but this is outside the scope of this paper.

### 3.3. Third approach: hybrid method

The scaling factor $K$ is an approximation to $1/d$ with $p$ bits of relative accuracy. A simple solution consists in actually computing this scaling factor with a few steps of our complex recurrence (using a small radix, typically 2 or 4). As soon as we have obtained a sufficiently accurate approximation (this will require $(p + 1)/2$ iterations), we can start the higher-radix iterations.

### 4. Rounding

One of the major advantages of a digit-recurrence division algorithm over techniques (such as Goldschmidt or Newton-Raphson methods) is that getting a correctly rounded result is straightforward. We now show that this remains true with our complex digit-recurrence algorithm. Note that the algorithm, by construction, always returns digits of a “valid” radix-$r$ representation of the quotient (which means that whatever the number of steps we will continue to execute – i.e., whatever the final accuracy will be –), the digits that have already been output will never change. Thus, if we stop the computation after having computed digit $q_j$, then the maximum possible error on the real as well as on the imaginary part is obtained if all subsequent digits have the maximum possible value. Hence this maximum possible error is

\[
\sum_{\ell=j+1}^{\infty} a \times r^{-\ell} = \frac{ar^{-j}}{r - 1}
\]

If the digit-set $\mathcal{S}$ is not over-redundant (that is, if $a \leq r - 1$) then this is less than or equal to the weight of the last computed digit $q_j$. Therefore our algorithm always provides faithfully rounded real and imaginary parts of the quotient.

Now, let us turn to the problem of getting correctly rounded quotients. Let us first neglect the prescaling step, and assume we stop the iterations at step $j$, where $j \log_2 r$ is greater than or equal to the number of bits of the target format.
From 
\[ \frac{w[j]}{y} = r^j \left[ \frac{w[0]}{y} - \left( q_1 r^{-1} + q_2 r^{-2} + \cdots + q_j r^{-j} \right) \right] \]
we deduce that the sign of the real part (imaginary part) of \( q - q_1 r^{-1} + q_2 r^{-2} + \cdots + q_j r^{-j} \)
is the sign of the real part (imaginary part) of \( w[j]/y \). Since \( y \) is very close to 1, in most cases, that sign will be the sign of the real part (imaginary part) of \( w[j] \), but this will not always be the case. More precisely, from \( ||1 - y||_{\infty} < 2^{-p} \) we deduce \( |1 - y| < \sqrt{2} \times 2^{-p} \)
and \( |y| \geq 1 - 2^{-p} \), hence,
\[ \left| \frac{1 - y}{y} \right| \leq \frac{2^{-p} \sqrt{2}}{1 - 2^{-p}}, \]
hence,
\[ \left| \frac{1}{y} \right| \leq \frac{2^{-p} \sqrt{2}}{1 - 2^{-p}}. \]
Define
\[ \rho_p = \frac{2^{-p} \sqrt{2}}{1 - 2^{-p}}. \]
From
\[ ||w[j]||_{\infty} < \Omega, \]
and
\[ \Re(w[j]/y) = \Re(w[j]) \Re(1/y) - \Im(w[j]) \Im(1/y) \]
and
\[ \Im(w[j]/y) = \Im(w[j]) \Re(1/y) + \Re(w[j]) \Im(1/y), \]
we deduce
- if \( \Re(w[j]) \geq 0 \) then \( \Re \left( \frac{w[j]}{y} \right) \geq \Re(w[j])(1 - \rho_p) - \Omega \rho_p \)
- if \( \Re(w[j]) < 0 \) then \( \Re \left( \frac{w[j]}{y} \right) \leq \Re(w[j])(1 - \rho_p) + \Omega \rho_p \)
- if \( \Im(w[j]) \geq 0 \) then \( \Im \left( \frac{w[j]}{y} \right) \geq \Im(w[j])(1 - \rho_p) - \Omega \rho_p \)
- if \( \Im(w[j]) < 0 \) then \( \Im \left( \frac{w[j]}{y} \right) \leq \Im(w[j])(1 - \rho_p) + \Omega \rho_p \)

Hence, if
\[ \Re(w[j]) \geq \frac{\Omega \rho_p}{1 - \rho_p} \]
then \( \Re(w[j]/y) \geq 0 \), so that \( \Re(q) \geq q_1 R^{r-1} + q_2 R^{r-2} + \cdots + q_j R^{r-j} \), and rounding of the real part of the quotient is done exactly as with conventional digit-recurrence division. If
\[ \Re(w[j]) \leq -\frac{\Omega \rho_p}{1 - \rho_p} \]
then \( \Re(q) \leq q_1 R^{r-1} + q_2 R^{r-2} + \cdots + q_j R^{r-j} \). The same properties hold for the imaginary part if
\[ \Im(w[j]) \geq \frac{\Omega \rho_p}{1 - \rho_p} \]
or

\[ \Im(w[j]) \leq -\frac{\Omega \rho_p}{1 - \rho_p} \]

If these conditions are not met, then additional iterations may need to be performed to get a correctly rounded result. In all practical cases, \( \frac{\Omega \rho_p}{1 - \rho_p} \) is very small, so that, if we assume a uniform distribution of the real and imaginary parts of the residuals between \(-\Omega\) and \(\Omega\), the probability that additional iterations are necessary is very small. For instance, if \( r = 8 \) and \( a = 4 \), we find

\[ \frac{\Omega \rho_p}{1 - \rho_p} \approx 0.003126093949 < 2^{-8} \]

This means that for this case, each time the final real and imaginary parts of the residual are more than \( 2^{-8} \) we are able to correctly round the quotient.

It is important to notice that this possible rounding difficulty, in some extreme cases, is not due to our algorithm. Indeed, it is an intrinsic problem of complex division. Consider, for instance, the following problem. We wish to evaluate

\[ \frac{1}{1 + 2^{-60}i}. \]

The exact result is

\[ \frac{132922795784915872903807060280344576}{132922795784915872903807060280344577} + \frac{1152921504606846976}{132922795784915872903807060280344577}i \]

whose decimal representation is

0.999999999999999999999999999999999999247 \( \cdots \) \[ + 8.673617379 \cdots \times 10^{-19}i. \]

It thus requires to approximate the real part of this value with a precision corresponding to roughly 38 decimal places to be able to safely decide whether this real part is less or more than 1. By replacing the term \( 2^{-60} \) by an even smaller term, one can obtain arbitrarily difficult cases.

Now, to take into account the prescaling, it is necessary that the scaling factor \( K \) is exactly representable with a (small) finite number of bits, so that no rounding error occurs during the prescaling step. This is done by adequately rounding the real and imaginary parts of the “theoretical” value of \( K \).

5. Comparison of recurrence implementation with other methods

Implementing complex division by using the basic formula (1) requires two real divisions, two squarings and four multiplications. As indicated earlier, such a formula is not suitable for implementation.

A block diagram of implementing one of the two recurrences (10) is shown in Figure 1 suitable for radix-2 or radix-4. A similar scheme implements imaginary part of the residual recurrence. The selection of the real and imaginary parts of \( q_{j+1} \) and the real and imaginary...
parts of $w[j+1]$ can be computed in parallel. Compared to a conventional radix-4 SRT recurrence (3), a [4:2] carry-save adder is used instead of a [3:2] adder. Moreover, another multiple generator is needed. Hence, the delay of our division algorithm will be slightly more than the delay of a conventional radix-4 SRT division, and certainly less than an implementation based on (1). The recurrence implementation can be pipelined to allow sharing in computing complex recurrence.

Compared to Smith’s iteration (2) which require significantly more arithmetic operations than (1), we conclude that our algorithm is much faster. Smith’s iteration requires 4 conventional divisions and 3 multiplications. A rough estimation is that our algorithm will be around 4 times faster.

The complex division in [12] uses a radix-2 on-line algorithm. It implements the selection function using selection constants and consequently, unlike the proposed algorithm which uses rounding, it is restricted to small radices. In the case of radix 2, the critical path in the recurrence and its cost are similar in both schemes.

Prescaling requires a table lookup as discussed earlier and two complex (rectangular) multiplications to perform scaling of the operands. As described in the literature, these can be merged with the recurrence implementation.

6. Summary

We have introduced a new algorithm for complex division. It is derived from the conventional (real) digit-recurrence algorithm and uses prescaling of the operands to allow quotient-digit selection by rounding. The recurrences are suitable for higher radix. The prescaling is more complicated than in the real case and the table size is the limiting factor.
for the choice of radix. The cost of implementing complex recurrence in radix-$r$ is roughly twice the cost of a radix-$r$ recurrence in the case of reals. The proposed algorithm is faster than the other known algorithms for complex division and it is suitable for hardware implementation. Moreover, it always allows easy faithful rounding while correct rounding can be performed at a reasonable cost.
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